

MICHAEL MEAD,
Plaintiff,
v.
GASTON COUNTY, REGINALD
BLOOM, individually and officially,
WILLIAM M. SAMPSON, individually
and officially, and CALVIN SHAW,
individually and officially,
Defendants.

THIS MATTER is before the Court on motions to quash filed by non-parties Eddie Meeks (Doc. No. 109) and William Setzer (Doc. No. 110). The motions seek to quash subpoenas served by Defendants in this case directing Meeks and Setzer to appear for depositions. Meeks and Setzer object to the subpoenas and seek quashal on the basis that (1) there is no description of the information sought in the subpoena; (2) the information sought may be protected by either the work product doctrine, the Fifth Amendment privilege, or both; (3) there is another prosecutor who is willing to testify; and (4) the subpoenas constitute a “fishing expedition.”

The Court has carefully reviewed the motions and, for the reasons stated in the Plaintiff and Defendants' respective responses in opposition (Doc. Nos. 112 & 122), finds that they are without merit. The Court notes especially that the subpoenas do not seek the production of documents, which would appear to resolve the primary objections of the motions. Also, Meeks and Setzer are free to assert these objections at the depositions if they wish.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motions to quash (Doc. Nos. 109 & 110) are
DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: March 24, 2015

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Graham C. Mullen", written over a horizontal line.

Graham C. Mullen
United States District Judge

